



Steven E. Mauer  
semauer@zergermauer.com

October 4, 2018

**VIA: E-Mail**

Mayor Robert Tietze  
City of Mission Woods  
[rtietze@kc.rr.com](mailto:rtietze@kc.rr.com)

*Re: October City Council Meeting*

Dear Mayor Tietze:

Accompanying this letter are the draft minutes from the Mission Woods City Council meeting on Tuesday, October 2<sup>nd</sup>. Please let me know if you have any questions, comments or changes. Thank you for your time and attention.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "SE Mauer", is written over the typed name.

Steven E. Mauer

Enclosures



**MINUTES OF MISSION WOODS CITY COUNCIL**  
**Tuesday, October 2, 2018**  
**7:00 p.m.**

The City Council of Mission Woods, Kansas met in regular session on Tuesday, October 2, 2018 at the Westwood City Hall, 4700 Rainbow Blvd., Westwood, Kansas.

Members Present: Mayor Robert Tietze  
Council Member Lauren Aleshire  
Council Member Tommy Clemente  
Council Member Amy McAnarney  
Council Member William Young

Members Absent: Council Member Joan Ruff

Others Present: Steven E. Mauer, City Attorney  
Mimi Doherty, Attorney  
Mike Knierman, Resident  
Cathy & Mike Hensley  
Joe Mazzei, Smart Pro Security  
Steve Flones, Smart Pro Security

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Tietze called the meeting to order at 7:01 p.m. A quorum was present.

**II. MINUTES OF PRIOR MEETING**

The Council took up the minutes of the September City Council Meeting. Mayor Tietze asked for corrections or additions. There were none. Council Member Aleshire moved to approve the

Minutes as written. Council Member Clemente seconded the motion, which passed unanimously (Ayes – IV / Nays – 0).

### III. WARRANTS

The Council next turned to the September warrants. There were no questions or comments. Council Member Aleshire moved to approve the warrants. The motion was seconded by Council Member Young, which passed unanimously (Ayes – IV / Nays – 0).

### IV. POLICE REPORT

The Council then reviewed the police report. There were no questions from the Mayor or the Council Members.

### V. SMART PRO SECURITY

The next item was a presentation from Smart Pro Security regarding cameras on light poles. Steve Fiones introduced the company and provided a handout to the Council Members. Mr. Fiones explained the background of the company and introduced Joe Mazzei. Mr. Mazzei explained he had served eighteen years with the City of Shawnee Police Department, where he worked in various departments. He explained the benefit of having video evidence to support criminal investigations. He also explained the operation of the cameras. In conclusion, he introduced Cary from TGS, a partner of Smart Pro Security. Cary explained his background with the operation of the cameras and how he would set up a “system” to film license plates of cars coming and going into the streets of Mission Woods off Shawnee Mission Parkway. Cary also provided a video of how the cameras would track the various cars and how the license plates were depicted on the video.

Council Member Aleshire asked about the storage of the information and how long it would last. Smart Pro Security said it could last anywhere from 14-30 days, depending on how much storage the City wanted to acquire. Resident Cathy Hensley asked if she could have a system for her house. Smart Pro Security answered that home systems were very easy, simple, and not near as costly because Wi-Fi service already exists at homes and does not need to be provided as would be necessary along City streets.

Having received no further questions, Smart Pro Security left the meeting and Mayor Tietze called on Police Chief O’Halloran to provide information. Chief O’Halloran said there was no downside to this video service and it could assist in criminal investigations. However, he suggested the City should consider waiting for this system because the technology is developing rapidly and he is working with other cities in Northeast Johnson County to develop a unified system that would be of more utility to the Police Department. In particular, he identified the City of Lenexa and its system that allows the simultaneous examination of licenses plates and identification if the license plate is currently “tagged” as a stolen vehicle or associated with potential nefarious activity. He explained he has been speaking with police chiefs from other cities surrounding Mission Woods, but the process of obtaining a grant and installing the system could be 18-24 months in the future.

Council Member Aleshire asked about the opportunity to put the system in place and then have other cities utilize the information as they “enhance” the service. Council Member Clemente stressed that it would be “cheap insurance” to reduce crime at a cost of less than \$15,000 for installation. In the end, the Council directed the City Attorney and Police Chief to gather more information. Mayor Tietze said he would place this item on the agenda for the next Council meeting.

## **VI. GENERAL COMMENTS**

Mayor Tietze then called for general comments from the Council and visitors. There were none.

## **X. EXECUTIVE SESSION**

At 7:41 p.m., a motion was made by Council Member Young to recess into Executive Session to consult with City Attorney Mauer and Mimi Doherty, outside counsel for the City, regarding litigation filed by the Archdiocese of Kansas City, which is deemed privileged in the attorney-client relationship, pursuant to K.S.A. 75-4319(b)(2) and to reconvene the open meeting in thirty (30) minutes. Council Member McAnarney seconded the motion, which passed unanimously. (Ayes – IV / Nays – 0).

At 8:11 p.m., Council Member Aleshire made a motion to reconvene in Open Session. The motion was seconded by Council Member McAnarney.

Resident Mike Knierman then asked whether it was possible to change the City’s Zoning Code to prevent future churches from attempting to open meeting houses or hold other activities in a residential area. At the direction of Mayor Tietze, City Attorney Mauer explained that this issue arose because of a Federal statute called RLUIPA (Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act), which specifically protects the conduct of religious activities. Therefore, it is impossible to “zone around” this issue. There was some additional discussion regarding RLUIPA and the scope of the Federal statute.

Before the meeting was closed, Council Member Aleshire asked about scheduling the next meeting because it would be on the “second day of trial.” Council Member Young made a motion to change the November Council meeting to November 13<sup>th</sup> at 7:00 p.m. The motion was seconded by Council Member Aleshire and passed unanimously. (Ayes – IV / Nays – 0).

Having no further business, a motion to adjourn was made by Council Member Clemente. The motion was seconded by Council Member McAnarney and passed unanimously (Ayes – IV / Nays – 0).

The meeting adjourned at 8:33 p.m.